I. Introduction

1. The International Human Rights Clinic (IHRC) at Santa Clara Law respectfully submits this report to the United Nations Universal Periodic Review (UPR). Over the past two years, IHRC has investigated local responses to human trafficking in the California Bay Area, a major U.S. hot spot for human trafficking that reflects national trends. We interviewed dozens of federal, state, and local law enforcement officials, victim services providers, and legal aid providers who work with human trafficking victims. Their observations and recommendations form the basis for our report.¹

2. This report details violations of the United States’ obligations under international human rights law stemming from the failure of the U.S. to address human trafficking in a comprehensive and coordinated manner. While we applaud the U.S. for the great strides it has already taken, significant gaps leave many victims unprotected. This report identifies three troubling gaps in the U.S. response to human trafficking: U.S. failure to 1) adequately identify and investigate labor trafficking cases; 2) address the intersection between the child welfare system and human trafficking, and 3) provide coordination and promote collaboration between local, state, and federal agencies to combat human trafficking.

II. Background and Framework

3. The United States is obligated to prevent human trafficking and protect trafficking victims under its international treaty obligations including Articles 8 and 24 of the ICCPR,² Article 9 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Sale of Children, Child Prostitution and Child Pornography,³ Articles 6 and 9 of the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress, and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children,⁴ as well as under the general prohibition against slavery in Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.⁵ As a signatory to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) and the Convention on the Rights of the
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¹ Where this report refers to local service providers and law enforcement officials, such references derive from information gathered from these actors in the California Bay Area.
⁵ UN General Assembly, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 10 December 1948, 217 A (III).
Child (CRC), the U.S. should also “refrain from acts which would defeat the object and purpose of” these treaties.6

4. The U.S. specifically accepted recommendations during the 2010 UPR to ratify CRC7 and CEDAW8, and to consider signing the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (CMW).9 The U.S. also accepted recommendations to “provide information and services to victims of trafficking[,]”10 “take effective steps to put an end to child prostitution[,]”11 “protect the human rights of migrants”,12 and “prevent slavery of agricultural workers, in particular children and women.”13

5. Since the 2010 UPR, the U.S. has received specific recommendations regarding its obligations to prevent human trafficking from several U.N. bodies, including the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination,14 the Human Rights Committee,15 the Committee on the Rights of the Child,16 and the Special Rapporteur on Contemporary Forms of Slavery.17

6. To date, the U.S. has not adopted a comprehensive plan addressing these concerns and recommendations, although the recent release of the Federal Strategic Action Plan on Services for Victims of Human Trafficking in the United States, 2013-17 (FSAP), represents a promising but incomplete step in this direction.18
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8 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendations 10, 11, 14, 20, 21, 22, and 33.
9 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendation 30.
10 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendation 169.
11 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendation 167.
12 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendation 210.
13 UPR Recommendations Supported by the U.S. Government, supra note 7, recommendation 193.
14 UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), Concluding observations on the combined seventh to ninth periodic reports of United States of America, 29 August 2014, CERD/C/USA/CO/7-9, para. 18 [hereinafter CERD Concluding Observations].
15 UN Human Rights Committee (HRC), Concluding observations on the fourth periodic report of the United States of America, 23 April 2014, CCPR/C/USA/CO/4, para. 14.
16 UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), Concluding observations on the second periodic report of the United States of America submitted under article 12 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography, adopted by the Committee at its sixty-second session (14 January–1 February 2013), 2 July 2013, CRC/C/OPSC/USA/CO/2.
17 UN Special Rapporteur on Contemporary Forms of Slavery, Report of the Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of slavery, including its causes and consequences, Gulnara Shahinian; Thematic report on challenges and lessons in combating contemporary forms of slavery, 1 July 2013, A/HRC/24/43, para. 42 (noting the vulnerability of migrant workers to trafficking in the United States).
7. The following sections of the report discuss different aspects of the existing U.S. legislative and policy framework to address human trafficking, analyzing some significant gaps in detail, and providing recommendations on how the U.S. may address these gaps.

III. Failure to Identify and Investigate Labor Trafficking Cases

8. According to law enforcement officials and service providers, the U.S. government has failed to take sufficient measures to identify and investigate labor trafficking cases. These actors observe that labor trafficking victims are typically identified by non-governmental service providers rather than by relevant government agencies, and that law enforcement often does not pursue the investigation and prosecution of such cases. While we commend the U.S. for “the initiation of complex, multi-jurisdictional, and international labor trafficking investigations,” and the “successful prosecution” of an unspecified number of domestic servitude cases, the U.S. has not adopted concrete measures to adequately address labor trafficking.

9. The U.S. acknowledged in its 2014 Trafficking in Persons Report (2014 TIP Report) and 2012 Trafficking in Persons Report (2012 TIP Report) that federal investigations and prosecutions focus disproportionately on sex trafficking cases over those involving labor trafficking. Our research indicates that causes of the under-investigation problem include 1) a lack of sufficient resources and 2) the failure of federal law enforcement agencies and other agencies charged with labor law enforcement to take affirmative steps to identify and investigate labor trafficking cases.

10. According to local law enforcement officials, police departments with federal anti-trafficking funding lack sufficient resources to investigate labor trafficking cases, which they characterize as more time- and resource-intensive than sex trafficking.
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19 IHRC Interviews with Federal, State, and Local Law Enforcement Officials, Victim Services Providers, and Legal Aid Providers who Work with Human Trafficking Victims, Names Redacted (2012-2014) (on file with author) [hereinafter IHRC Interviews].
20 IHRC Interviews, supra note 19.
23 IHRC Interviews, supra note 19; see also TIP REPORT 2012 at 360-364, supra note 22.
investigations. The 2012 TIP Report echoes this concern, noting “federal and state worksite inspectors lacked sufficient resources and training to increase victim identification appreciably.” According to the 2014 TIP Report, “federal and state efforts to prevent and respond to child labor trafficking allegations were inadequate.”

11. Federal agencies charged with the enforcement of labor laws do not yet regularly identify and investigate labor trafficking cases. The 2012 TIP Report notes that, despite the fact that they “are often the first government authorities to detect exploitative labor practices[,]” Department of Labor investigators “are not mandated to investigate human trafficking[,]” and do not receive “[s]ystematic trafficking-specific training[.]” In the 2014 TIP Report, the U.S. recognized that “potential cases of labor trafficking were still being viewed too often as ‘workplace disputes’ or contract violations, rather than being investigated as potential criminal matters.”

12. Farm workers and domestic workers are uniquely vulnerable to labor trafficking, as U.S. labor laws explicitly exclude these categories of workers from key aspects of their protections. This lack of legal protection leaves women and children particularly exposed to exploitation; a study found that 98% of California domestic workers are female, and the federal law regulating child labor includes an exemption to allow children as young as 12 years old to work in the agricultural industry. Although the U.S. states that “[p]rotecting vulnerable workers . . . is a priority[,]” it makes no mention in its 2014 TIP Report of steps taken to do so.

13. Migrant workers in the U.S. are also particularly vulnerable to forced labor. A recent “federally-funded report found that 30 percent of migrant laborers surveyed . . . were
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24 IHRC Interviews, supra note 19.
25 IHRC Interviews, supra note 19; TIP REPORT 2012 at 364, supra note 22.
26 TIP REPORT 2014 at 401, supra note 22.
27 TIP REPORT 2012 at 360, supra note 22.
28 TIP REPORT 2012 at 360, supra note 22.
29 TIP REPORT 2014 at 401, supra note 22.
33 U.S. ICCPR RESPONSE at ¶ 113, supra note 21.
34 TIP REPORT 2014, supra note 22.
victims of labor trafficking and 55 percent were victims of labor abuse[]. The H-2B guestworker program also places migrant workers at risk for trafficking.\textsuperscript{37}

A. Suggested Recommendations:

14. We would like to make the following recommendations regarding the under-identification and investigation of labor trafficking cases:

a. Review U.S. laws and regulations to ensure full protection against exploitation and forced labor for all categories of workers, including agricultural workers, migrant workers, and domestic workers. Assess the extent to which existing gaps disproportionately impact the rights of women, children, and minority groups and provide detailed information in this regard.

b. Reform the H-2B guestworker program to allow workers with this visa to leave abusive employers without facing deportation and establish a procedure for such workers to obtain permanent residency and citizenship.

c. Ratify and implement the ILO Convention concerning Forced or Compulsory Labor (1930) and its 2014 Protocol. Additionally, take measures to fulfill the voluntary commitment undertaken during the 2010 UPR to consider signing and ratifying CMW.

d. Mandate the Department of Labor and other labor law enforcement agencies to investigate labor trafficking cases and to provide agents with trafficking-specific training.

IV. Failure to Address the Intersection between Human Trafficking and the Child Welfare System

15. Recent data demonstrates that child trafficking victims are very likely to have some interaction with the child welfare system, yet the U.S. is not taking sufficient steps to address this connection.\textsuperscript{38} The U.S. is failing to: 1) protect children already in the child welfare system and homeless children who are particularly vulnerable to trafficking and 2) meet the unique needs of trafficking survivors who are subsequently placed in the child welfare system following their rescue.

\textsuperscript{36} TIP REPORT 2014 at 402, supra note 22.

\textsuperscript{37} ACLU Shadow Report, supra note 35; see also CERD Concluding Observations, supra note 14, para. 18 (concluding that “workers entering the [United States] under the H-2B work visa programme are at high risk of becoming victims of trafficking and/or forced labour.”).

16. We applaud the U.S. for providing increased funding to train service providers “on addressing child trafficking, particularly as it intersects with the child welfare system and runaway and homeless youth programs[]” and FSAP provisions recognizing the need to protect children who are homeless or in the child welfare system. Additionally, we welcome recent legislative proposals to address these issues, though we are concerned that the U.S. has failed to approve any of these. We urge the U.S. to implement swift, specific, and comprehensive measures in this regard.

17. Children involved in the child welfare system are highly vulnerable to trafficking and sexual exploitation. The Department of Justice (DOJ) approximates that “nearly 300,000 children are at risk of becoming victims of domestic sex trafficking each year, with foster youth being especially susceptible to coercion, manipulation and exploitation by traffickers.” A recent California Child Welfare Council report determined that “a history of child welfare agency involvement” was a “major risk factor” for child trafficking. That same study warns that traffickers actively seek out the most vulnerable children in the child welfare system by targeting group homes and shelters. Although state-level studies show a strong correlation between child trafficking and the child welfare system, the U.S. government does not gather nationwide data, which further impedes efforts to understand and address this serious problem.

18. The child welfare system also lacks the resources, guidance and training necessary to adequately meet the special needs of traumatized child trafficking survivors who are placed in the child welfare system despite laws requiring child welfare agencies to serve trafficked children. Because “most state child protection professionals do not have the
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39 TIP REPORT 2014 at 397, supra note 22.
40 See generally, FSAP, supra note 18.
41 Preventing Sex Trafficking and Strengthening Families Act (H.R. 4980), Strengthening Child Welfare Response to Trafficking Act of 2014 (H.R. 5081), Enhancing Services for Runaway and Homeless Victims of Youth Trafficking Act of 2014 (H.R. 5076), Stop Exploitation Through Trafficking Act of 2014 (H.R. 3610), and Preventing Sex Trafficking and Improving Opportunities for Youth in Foster Care Act (H.R. 4058). Text of these bills can be found at https://www.govtrack.us.
training, protocols or procedures to identify and respond appropriately to child trafficking cases,” child “victims are often left without critical support, are subsequently re-trafficked, and remain vulnerable to further exploitation and abuse.”

Additionally, the child welfare system does not employ sufficient caseworkers to meet the need.

19. The child welfare system also fails to identify missing children, increasing their vulnerability to trafficking, because it lacks a “universal, consistent, and mandated” system of reporting missing children. As a result, traffickers “have no fear of punishment due to the lack of attention when young people from this population go missing.”

U.S. House Representative David Reichert reported that “[o]f children reported missing . . . who were also likely sex trafficking victims, 60 percent were in foster care or group homes when they ran away.”

20. LGBTQ youth are disproportionately represented in the child welfare system and are “up to five times more likely than heterosexual youth to be victims of trafficking.”

Providers report that LGBTQ youth comprise 40% of the runaway and homeless youth population, while LGBTQ individuals only account for 5-7% of the population. The U.S. acknowledges that these youth are particularly vulnerable to trafficking and that
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50 Loyola Report at 13, supra note 49; see also CA Child Welfare Council Report at 57, supra note 38.
59 TIP REPORT 2014 at 397, supra note 22.
exploiters target them while in the welfare system, on the run, or homeless. Currently, the U.S. lacks a systematic child welfare approach to screen, identify, and protect these at-risk children, especially LGBTQ youth.

A. Suggested Recommendations:

21. We would like to make the following recommendations to address the intersection of the child welfare system and human trafficking in the U.S.:
   a. Develop and undertake immediate measures to ensure that the child welfare system has the mandate, resources, and training necessary to screen, identify, track, and provide appropriate services to trafficking victims, as well as to protect vulnerable children from trafficking. Ensure these measures are tailored to protect particularly vulnerable groups, including LGBTQ youth.
   b. Take measures to fulfill the voluntary commitment undertaken during its first UPR to ratify and implement the CRC.
   c. Mandate reporting of missing foster children to law enforcement.
   d. Ensure that child victims of trafficking are not criminalized.
   e. Expand the definition of child abuse in all jurisdictions to include abuse caused by pimps, traffickers, and buyers of commercial sex to bring child trafficking victims within the mandate of the child welfare system.

V. Failure to Provide Adequate Local, State, and Federal Coordination, Funding, and Training

22. The U.S. is also violating its human rights obligations by failing to coordinate anti-trafficking efforts among federal agencies and between federal, state, and local agencies, and by providing insufficient, inconsistent funding and training for local anti-trafficking efforts. Although we applaud the U.S. for its recently released FSAP, we urge the U.S. to strengthen its efforts to provide federal coordination of anti-trafficking efforts in all areas. We are particularly concerned that the FSAP does not include concrete implementation measures.

23. Although the U.S. government has acknowledged that Article 50 of the ICCPR requires it to “take measures appropriate to the Federal system to the end that the competent authorities of the state or local governments may take appropriate measures for the fulfillment of the Covenant[,]” it has failed to coordinate and implement anti-trafficking
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60 CSEC Work Group Fact Sheet, supra note 55.
61 CSEC Work Group Fact Sheet, supra note 55; see also FSAP, supra note 18.
62 See generally, FSAP, supra note 18.
63 See generally, FSAP, supra note 18.
efforts between federal, state, and local agencies. Local law enforcement officials report that this lack of coordination has reduced their effectiveness in responding to human trafficking, and that traffickers take advantage of this phenomenon by moving trafficking victims, particularly children, into different jurisdictions to evade investigation.

24. Local law enforcement agencies and victim services organizations also report that a lack of consistent, sufficient funding is a major barrier to eradicating trafficking and serving victims. In 2011, U.S. government grants funded only 39 local anti-trafficking task forces nationwide, or less than one task force per state. For police departments, such grants are insufficient to enable the hiring of additional officers to engage in “labor-intensive” human trafficking investigations, which contributes to under-identification of trafficking cases.

25. Local law enforcement and service providers further agree that the U.S. needs to take broad measures to provide standardized human trafficking training for all agencies that come into contact with potential trafficking victims, including immigration agencies, labor law enforcement agencies, and child welfare agencies. As noted above, child welfare agencies lack “adequate tools or training to consistently and systematically identify” trafficking victims, and a recent government study reveals that “law enforcement commonly lack[s] training on how to investigate human trafficking cases.” The 2012 TIP Report likewise acknowledges that to combat trafficking more effectively, the U.S. should “institute universal training on the detection of human trafficking for all relevant Department of Labor (DOL) investigators [and] increase victim identification training for immigration detention and removal officers and systematize screenings for trafficked persons in all immigration detention centers.”

26. This failure is particularly troubling in light of the current influx of unaccompanied immigrant children subject to expedited removal proceedings, likely without appropriate screening for human trafficking prior to deportation, despite a federal law – which legislators now seek to repeal – requiring such screening. In a recent report, the U.S.
Congressional Research Service acknowledged studies showing that immigration authorities do not adequately conduct such screening “nor do they have training in place for their Border Patrol agents.”

A. Suggested Recommendations:

27. We would like to make the following recommendations on local, state, and federal coordination, funding, and training efforts on human trafficking in the U.S.:

a. Establish, support, and adequately fund a sufficient number of local anti-trafficking task forces. Ensure that these task forces consistently have the necessary training and funds to identify, investigate, and prosecute labor trafficking cases and to coordinate effectively with child welfare and other concerned agencies in cases involving child victims.

b. Provide standardized, increased resources and training of all agencies that come into contact with potential human trafficking victims to effectively identify, investigate, and prosecute trafficking cases, particularly those involving forced labor and child trafficking. Include the necessary resources and training to ensure that border patrol and other immigration officials effectively screen detained immigrants, particularly unaccompanied minor children, for human trafficking.

c. Do not amend the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 to remove the requirement that unaccompanied minor children be screened for human trafficking and take measures to fully implement such screening.

d. Take affirmative measures to ensure that the federal government takes a leading role to promote communication, cooperation, and coordination among federal agencies and between federal, state, and local agencies to combat human trafficking.

e. Implement the commitments undertaken in the FSAP in a timely, comprehensive, and effective manner.


VI. Conclusion

28. As outlined above, the U.S. violates its obligations to prevent human trafficking and protect trafficking victims by failing to adequately identify and investigate labor trafficking cases; address the intersection between the child welfare system and human trafficking; and provide coordination and promote collaboration between local, state, and federal agencies to combat human trafficking. We encourage the U.S. to implement the recommendations mentioned in this report in a timely manner and address these important gaps in the U.S. response to human trafficking.