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I. Introduction

1. The Commission for the Disappeared and Victims of Violence (KontraS) is a human rights NGO based in Jakarta, Indonesia. KontraS has consistently undertaken efforts to campaign for the abolition of the death penalty in Indonesia. In order to get solid support for this movement, KontraS has taken an active role both in regional and global networks, namely the Anti-Death Penalty Asia Network (ADPAN) and the World Coalition Against Death Penalty, to bring attention to the issue. By using different approaches, such as popular campaigns, human rights research, and continuous advocacy, we hope to shift both the public and government paradigms on how to legitimately use the rule of law to address human rights issues in Indonesia.

2. The International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH) is an international human rights NGO that unites 184 member organizations from close to 120 countries. Since its foundation in 1922, FIDH has defended all civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR).

3. The Center of Human Rights Law Studies (HRLS), Faculty of Law, University of Airlangga, is a human rights research center based in Surabaya, Indonesia. HRLS is aimed at strengthening the capacity of educational institutions and communities by raising awareness of human rights issues. HRLS works in three key areas: promotion of human rights law in order to advance legal education; promotion of human rights teaching methodologies and research through participatory methods with a view to changing political and economic policies; building of strategic networks to advance progressive human rights policies at the local, national, and international level.

4. This submission assesses the progress status of previous cycle recommendations received by the Indonesian government with regard to the death penalty and highlights the government’s failure to uphold several human rights obligations related to the use of death penalty in Indonesia.

II. Death penalty: Unrealistic drug-free policy goal
5. Important developments took place in Indonesia with regard to the death penalty since the country’s 2nd UPR cycle. During President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono’s term, Indonesia implemented a de facto moratorium on the death penalty (between November 2008 and March 2013).1 Yet, before the end of Yudhoyono’s term, the moratorium ended in March 2013 when a 48-year-old Malawian national was executed for drug-related offences.2

6. Indonesia abstained from voting on a moratorium on the use of the death penalty at the UN General Assembly in December 2014.3

7. The situation worsened at the beginning of 2015 when President Joko Widodo’s administration expressed its support for the use of the death penalty for drug-related offences based on highly questionable evidence. President Widodo claimed that the country had a “drugs emergency” as he believed that at least 4.5 million Indonesians needed to be rehabilitated as a result of drug use and that 40 to 50 young Indonesians had died from illicit drug use. This triggered a response from civil society, including from academics, who worked collaboratively with Indonesian civil society.

8. The response was made through prominent, widely known medical journal, the Lancet. In a report published on 6 June 2015, the Lancet stated that, “as researchers, scientists and practitioners we have grave concerns the government is missing an opportunity to implement an effective response to illicit drugs informed by evidence.”4 However, the Indonesian government continued with the policy. As a result, in 2015, 14 people of various nationalities (Australian, Brazilian, Dutch, and several African countries) were executed for drug-related offences.

III. The amendment of Penal Code and several laws that carry the death penalty

9. The punitive response to drug-related offenses through executions under President Widodo’s administration is not the sole problem. Indonesia’s Penal Code has long allowed the death penalty as a punishment for a number of crimes. In June 2015, the Indonesian government announced plans in Parliament to revise the Penal Code through a bill called Rancangan Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana (RKUHP - “draft Penal Code”). Instead of using this as an opportunity to reform the Penal Code, the RKUHP Bill promotes the use of the death penalty as a punishment. This is particularly evident in

---

two articles (Articles 67 and 69), which retained the use of the death penalty as an “alternative legal punishment.”

10. Article 91 of the RKUHP Bill states that “alternative legal punishment” can be imposed with a “probation period” of 10 years after the rejection of a clemency petition made by the President. In addition, if the inmate is able to meet three requirements (i.e.: there are no adverse reactions from the public with regard to the inmate’s case; the inmate shows compunction so that he/she can undergo a rehabilitation process; and the inmate did not play an important role in the crime committed), then the Minister of Law and Human Rights can decide to commute the death sentence into a life or a 20-year prison sentence.

11. The RKUHP Bill contains 26 articles that confirm at least 15 offences punishable by death, including drug-related crimes, terrorism, treason, and corruption. The Indonesian government maintains that these crimes fall under the category of the “most serious crimes.” Nevertheless, international jurisprudence has repeatedly stated that these categories of crimes do not meet the threshold of the “most serious crimes” under international norms, as stated in Article 6(2) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

12. Another consideration is related to the plan to revise the 2003 Anti-Terrorism Law. After the January 2016 bomb blasts in Jakarta, the Indonesian government stated the need to revise the Anti-Terrorism Law in order to take firm action to tackle terrorist groups. The proposed amendments to the 2003 Anti-Terrorism Law, drafted by the the Indonesian government, maintain the death penalty as punishment in Articles 6 and 14.

IV. Torture, Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment

13. Concerning the Indonesian government’s commitment related to the fulfillment of its obligations under the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), we regret that executions continued throughout 2015 and 2016. These executions violated Indonesia’s international human rights obligations under Articles 1 and 16 of the CAT, and Article 7 of the ICCPR.

IV.1 Conditions in isolation cells

14. After the latest round of executions on 29 July 2016, KontraS conducted a special investigation into Nusakambangan island prison (where executions are carried out) in Central Java. Throughout the process, we found that the isolation cells where prisoners are held prior to their execution were in very poor condition. Several key informants said that the isolation cells could not withstand the massive influx of water when it rained.

---

7 The investigation was conducted in the beginning of September 2016.
This resulted in the cells filling up to waist-level with water. Such conditions violate the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners and can be considered cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment.  

**IV.2 Notification duty**

15. All executions are legally required to be undertaken based on the provisions contained in Article 6(1) of the Law No. 2/PNPS/1964 regarding the Procedures of Death Penalty, which requires the authorities to notify the family, respective embassies of prisoners (if the prisoners are foreigners), and legal counsel 72 hours before the execution takes place. The 72-hour notification in most cases is not sufficient for the death row inmates to file a clemency petition.  

16. In the case of Martin Anderson, a Ghanaian national who was executed in April 2015, there was no direct communication between the Indonesian government to the Ghanaian government. This situation violated the International Convention on Consular Relations – Vienna Convention on Consular Relations’ Article 36. The authorities failed to fulfill the 72-hour notification requirement as part of the process that led to the executions of four drug convicts shortly after midnight on 29 July 2016. The family of Nigerian national Michael Titus Igweh said they did not receive notification of his execution either from the Attorney General’s Office or the Nigerian Embassy. The family heard the news on television.

**IV.3 Method of execution**

17. According to Law No. 2/PNPS/1964 on the Means of Implementation of the Death Penalty Imposed by the General and Military Courts, the use of firing squad is the sole method of execution. Such a method has caused both physical and mental suffering. Based on KontraS’ monitoring of the 2015 and 2016 executions, we discovered that most of the inmates suffered from their gunshot wounds for 10–15 minutes before being
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9 According to the UN Human Rights Council in the 33rd session on the Question of the Death Penalty report of the Secretary General (A/HRC/33/20), it states that the UN urges for each government to provide mandatory sufficient time for the inmates in order to exercise its right to seek clemency before the execution is carried out. The document can be accessed at: [https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G16/150/51/PDF/G1615051.pdf?OpenElement](https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G16/150/51/PDF/G1615051.pdf?OpenElement).
pronounced dead by the prison doctor. This act violates the prohibition on cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment under Article 16 of the CAT.12

IV.4 Death row phenomenon

18. There are no official data that can be used as a source to know the actual number of death row inmates in Indonesia. However, according to KontraS’ research, as of 4 October 2016, there were at least 179 inmates awaiting execution.13 The element of uncertainty in regards to when they will be executed strongly impacts the inmates; theses inmates have to spend a prolonged period of time behind bars prior to their execution.

IV.5 Torture and mental abuse

19. Torture – both physical and mental – occurred in several cases of death row inmates, including: Zulfikar Ali (Pakistan); Humphrey Jefferson, Michael Titus Igweh, Hillary K. Chimezie (Nigeria); and Yusman Telambanua, Rusula Hia, and Merry Utami (Indonesia). The torture practices occurred at different levels of the criminal justice system. There are specific times where torture in death penalty cases tend to happen, notably during imprisonment, in detention centers, and throughout police investigations. UN Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Juan Ernesto Mendez has previously urged the Indonesian government to halt the execution of a number of prisoners, including prisoners who were allegedly tortured, and retry them in compliance with international standards.14

IV.6 The uncertain reasons and information on the last-minute reprieve for 10 death row inmates in July 2016

20. In the batch of executions that occurred in July 2016, the Indonesian authorities suddenly cancelled the planned execution of 10 inmates on death row for no specific reason. On 29 July 2016, Attorney General H.M Prasetyo only stated that their execution had been postponed “to conduct further study.” Prasetyo said that only four of the 14 drug convicts who were facing the firing squad – Freddy Budiman (Indonesia), Seck Osmane (Senegal), and Michael Titus Igweh and Humphrey Jefferson (Nigeria) – were executed due to the gravity of the crimes they committed, and after the authorities had taken into account the juridical and non-juridical aspects of their cases. Michael Titus Igweh was still in the process of submitting a petition for a judicial review of his case.

V. Fair trial conditions

V.1.1 Death sentence for minors

---

There are strong indications that the implementation of the ‘war on drugs’ in Indonesia has been linked to unfair trials for the defendants. The case against Indonesian national Yusman Telambanua, for example, has been marred by allegations of unfair legal proceedings. KontraS discovered that the death sentence imposed on Yusman has legal defects in terms of evidence against him, the use of torture that resulted in his forced confession before the court, and the prosecution’s failure to prove that Yusman was not a minor before he was sentenced. Yusman’s legal counsel even requested the use of the death penalty as his final sentence.

V.1.2 Access of information and clemency procedure

President Widodo stated that he would reject all clemency appeals from death row inmates convicted of drug-related offenses. KontraS’ findings of the President’s clemency by the Public Information Openness (Keterbukaan Informasi Publik – KIP) mechanism according to Law No. 14/2008 found that almost every denial of clemency by President Widodo stated that there were “not enough reasons to give clemency to those inmates.” There was no clear legal reasoning behind the President’s decision to reject the petitions. The Indonesian government violated the Constitutional Court verdict No. 107/PUU-XIII/2015, which prohibits the execution of death row inmates who are in the process of submitting a clemency appeal. Three of the death row inmates executed on 29 July 2016 (Seck Osmane, Humphrey Jefferson, and Freddy Budiman) were in the process of submitting a clemency appeal when they were executed. The statement from the Attorney General that there is deadline in submitting clemency according to Article 7, clause (2) of the Law on Clemency is irrelevant, because according to the above-mentioned Constitutional Court verdict, Article 7, clause (2) of the Law on Clemency has already been revoked. The arbitrary denial of clemency also contravenes Article 6(4) of the ICCPR, which states that “anyone sentenced to death shall have the right to seek pardon or commutation of the sentence.”

VI. Protection of persons with disabilities

KontraS’ findings concerning the protection of persons with disabilities are related to the unfair enforcement of the death penalty against Brazilian national Rodrigo Gularte. Gularte, who was executed on 29 April 2015, had been suffering from mental illness (schizophrenia) since 1982. Article 44 of Indonesia’s Penal Code states that a person who commits an act by reason of the defective development or sickly disorder of his/her mental capacities, shall not be punished. It can therefore be concluded that due to Rodrigo Gularte’s mental illness, he was not liable, and should not have been punished for his act. The Attorney General repeatedly stated that the law only prohibited the
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15 See supra note No. 2
17 Opcit
government from executing pregnant women and children under 18 years of age and stated that it was lawful to execute Rodrigo Gularte.18

VII. Indonesian migrant workers

24. The use of a double standards approach with regard to the death penalty is reflected in the government’s efforts to intervene to save the lives of Indonesian migrant workers who have been sentenced to death abroad while continuing to execute inmates, including foreigners, in Indonesia. According to the latest numbers from Migrant Care, an Indonesian organization that promotes the protection of Indonesian migrant workers, there are approximately 281 Indonesian migrant workers who have been sentenced to death in various retentionist countries.19

VIII. Recommendations

We call upon UN member states to make recommendations to the Indonesian government to:

1. Re-instate a moratorium on all executions.


3. Urge the President to consider seriously assessing the clemency appeals of death row inmates.

4. Establish an independent committee to conduct a review of all current death row cases to investigate alleged violations of fair trial rights.

5. Immediately revoke the death sentence of Yusman Telaumbanua, an underage death row inmate.

6. Significantly reduce the number of criminal offenses that could be punished by death by ensuring the death penalty is allowed only for the most serious crimes, in accordance with international standards.

7. Respect the international human rights standards related to the right to a fair trial and due process.

8. Maintain and make publicly available up-to-date information and statistics (disaggregated by nationality; sex; age; racial or ethnic origin; religion or belief; sexual orientation and other status, including disability) on: the number of persons sentenced to death; the

number of executions carried out; the number of persons under sentence of death; the number of death sentences reversed or commuted on appeal; and the number of instances in which clemency has been granted.

9. Extend a standing invitation to the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions and to the UN Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

10. Ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.

11. Vote in favor of the next UN General Assembly resolution that calls for a moratorium on executions.