

## THE ROLE OF A STATE UNDER REVIEW AT THE UPR

<u>UPR Stage:</u>	<u>Timescale:</u>	<u>What to do:</u>
<u>Before the Review</u>	Review (R) – 12 months	<b>Hold national consultations</b> with the civil society for the drafting of the National Report.
	R – 3 months	<b>Submit a report</b> on the human rights situation to be used as a basis for the review. The document should not to exceed twenty pages.
<u>During the Review</u>	R	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Present its <b>National Report</b>.</li> <li>• Answer to the <b>advance written questions</b>.</li> <li>• Answer to <b>questions coming from the WG members</b> during the interactive dialogue.</li> </ul>
<u>Between the Review and the Adoption in the WG</u>	R + 1 to 3 days	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Provide preliminary responses</b> to the recommendations received</li> <li>• <b>Finalize the report of the WG</b> with the Troika members.</li> </ul>
<u>Adoption in the Working Group</u>	R + 3 days	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Comment</b> on the Review.</li> </ul>
<u>Between the Adoption in the WG and the Plenary</u>	R + 1 to 4 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide <b>responses to pending recommendations</b>, either accepting or rejecting them.</li> <li>• Submit a written <b>addendum</b> stating the final responses.</li> </ul>
<u>Human Rights Council Session – Adoption in the Plenary</u>	R + 4 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Present final responses</b> with an effort to comment on the reasons for rejection.</li> <li>• <b>Answer to questions</b> from States and NGOs</li> </ul>
<u>Between two Reviews</u>	R + 1 to 4 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Implement</b> the recommendations.</li> <li>• <b>Engage in dialogue</b> with the civil society to involve them in the implementation.</li> <li>• <b>Prepare for the next Review</b>.</li> </ul>