

Statements Analysis

PAKISTAN

SESSION 1: 7 - 18 APRIL 2008

States under Review: Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, the Czech Republic, and Argentina.

I. PARTICIPATION: 14/16

During the first session of the UPR, Pakistan took the floor on 14 States under Review (out of 16). The delegation did not take the floor for the review on India and Argentina.

II. STATEMENTS CLASSIFICATION

○ **By States individually**

Supporting or Neutral Statements: (12/14) - 85.7%

Pakistan 12 made supporting or neutral statements (out of the 14 statements made) for the reviews on Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, South Africa, and the Czech Republic.

Critical Statements: (2/14) - 14.3%

Pakistan made 2 critical statements (out of the 14 statements made) for the reviews on Poland and the Netherlands.

○ **By regional group**

Pakistan belongs to the Asian Group.


The following table indicates the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements within regional groups:

Regional Group	African Group (4) ¹	Asian Group (3)	EEG (2)	GRULAC (2)	WEOG (3)
Statements					
Supporting or neutral statements	4/4: 100%	3/3: 100%	1/2: 50%	2/2: 100%	2/3: 66.6%
Critical Statements	0/4	0/3	1/2: 50%	0/2	1/3: 33.3%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%


Read as follows: 100% of all the statements made by Pakistan on States from the African Group were supporting or neutral.

The following graphics indicate an alternative way to read the data. They show the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements sorted by regional group:

Supporting or neutral statements sorted by regional group



Critical statements sorted by regional group



	Supporting Statements (12)	Critical Statements (2)
African Group	4/12: 33.3%	0/2
Asian Group	3/12: 25%	0/2
EEG	1/12: 8.3%	1/2: 50%
GRULAC	2/12: 16.7%	0/2
WEOG	2/12: 16.7%	1/2: 50%
Total	100%	100%

Read as follows: 33.3% of all the supporting or neutral statements made by Pakistan were on States from the African Group.

III. STATEMENTS DETAILS

o By States individually

The following section indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in Pakistan's statements sorted by States.

Appraisals on human rights policies: (14/14) - 100%

Pakistan made appraisals on 14 States: Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, and the Czech Republic.

¹ Total number of States in the regional group on which Pakistan made a statement.

Remarks on human rights issues: (12/14) - 85.7%

Pakistan made remarks on 12 States: Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, South Africa, and the Czech Republic.

Concerns on human rights issues: (2/14) – 14.3%

Pakistan expressed concerns on 2 States: Poland and the Netherlands.

Recommendations: (1/14) - 100%

Pakistan made recommendations on 1 State: the Netherlands.

o **By regional group**

Pakistan belongs to the Asian Group.

The following table indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in Pakistan's statements within regional groups:

Regional Group Statements	African Group (4)	Asian Group (3)	EASTERN EU (2)	GRULAC (2)	WEOG (3)
Appraisals	4/4: 100%	3/3: 100%	2/2: 100%	2/2: 100%	3/3: 100%
Remarks	4/4: 100%	3/3: 100%	1/2: 50%	2/2: 100%	2/3: 66.6%
Concerns	0/4	0/3	1/2: 50%	0/2	1/3: 33.3%
Recommendations	0/4	0/3	0/2	0/2	1/3: 33.3%

Read as follows: *100% of all the statements made by Pakistan on States from the African Group contained appraisals.*

The following table indicates an alternative way to read the data. It shows the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns and recommendations contained in Pakistan's statements sorted by regional group:

	Appraisals (14)	Remarks (12)	Concerns (2)	Recommendations (1)
African Group	4/14: 28.6%	4/12: 33.3%	0/2	0/1
Asian Group	3/14: 21.4%	3/12: 25%	0/2	0/1
EEG	2/14: 14.3%	1/12: 8.3%	1/2: 50%	0/1
GRULAC	2/14: 14.3%	2/12: 16.7%	0/2	0/1
WEOG	3/14: 21.4%	2/12: 16.7%	1/2: 50%	1/1: 100%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

Read as follows: *28.6% of all the appraisals made by Pakistan were on States from the African Group.*

ANNEX 1 – LIST OF HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES RAISED

Human Rights Issues	States under Review	Remarks	Concerns	Recommendations
Asylum-seekers/refugees	South Africa	X		
Democracy	Indonesia	X		
Disability	Finland	X		
Economic, social and cultural rights	Brazil	X		
	South Africa	X		
Freedom of religion and belief	Netherlands		X	
Globalisation	Tunisia	X		
Human rights policies and mechanisms	United Kingdom	X		
	Philippines	X		
	Algeria	X		
Human rights while countering terrorism / Counter-terrorism legislation	Tunisia	X		
	Morocco	X		
	United Kingdom	X		
International human rights instruments (including their implementation in national legislations, coop. with Treaty bodies)	Poland		X	
	Czech Republic	X		
Justice	Finland	X		
	Netherlands			X
	Czech Republic	X		
Migrants	Finland	X		
	Poland		X	
	South Africa	X		
Minorities	Ecuador	X		
	Finland	X		
	Brazil	X		
	Poland		X	
Poverty	Brazil	X		
	South Africa	X		
Racism/racial discrimination	Finland	X		
	Brazil	X		
	Poland		X	
	Netherlands		X	
Rights of the Child	Finland	X		
Special Procedures	Indonesia	X		
Transitional justice	Algeria	X		
Women's rights	Finland	X		
	Brazil	X		
	Poland		X	
Others	Bahrain	X		

ANNEX 2 – RECOMMENDATIONS

List of the recommendation submitted by Pakistan during the first session and contained in Reports of the Working Group:

- **To the Netherlands - A/HRC/8/31**

- To complete investigations about civil and criminal implications concerning the release of the film “Fitna” and initiate prosecution of the author in accordance with Dutch law

Disclaimer: For explanations on the analysis, please read our “Statements Analysis – Methodology” available at www.upr-info.org. For questions and/or comments, please write to info@upr-info.org