

## Statements Analysis

# INDIA

**SESSION 1: 7 - 18 APRIL 2008**

**States under Review:** Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, the Czech Republic, and Argentina.

### **I. PARTICIPATION:** 8/15

During the first session of the UPR, India took the floor on 8 States under Review (out of 15 as India was also under Review): Bahrain, Tunisia, Morocco, the United Kingdom, Brazil, the Philippines, the Netherlands, and South Africa.

### **II. STATEMENTS CLASSIFICATION**

#### ○ **By States individually**

Supporting or Neutral Statements: (6/8) - 75%

India made 6 supporting or neutral statements (out of the 8 statements made) for the reviews on Bahrain, Tunisia, Morocco, Brazil, the Philippines, and South Africa.

Critical Statements: (2/8) - 25%

India made 2 critical statements (out of the 8 statements made) for the reviews on the United Kingdom and the Netherlands.

#### ○ **By regional group**

India belongs to the Asian Group.


The following table indicates the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements within regional groups:

Regional Group	African Group (3) <sup>1</sup>	Asian Group (2)	EEG (0)	GRULAC (1)	WEOG (2)
<b>Statements</b>					
<b>Supporting or neutral statements</b>	3/3: 100%	2/2: 100%		1/1: 100%	0/2
<b>Critical Statements</b>	0/3	0/2		0/1	2/2: 100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>


Read as follows: 100% of all the statements made by India on States from the African Group were supporting or neutral.

The following graphics indicate an alternative way to read the data. They show the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements sorted by regional group:

**Supporting or neutral statements sorted by regional group**



**Critical statements sorted by regional group**



	Supporting Statements (6)	Critical Statements (2)
<b>African Group</b>	3/6: 50%	0/2
<b>Asian Group</b>	2/6: 33.3%	0/2
<b>EEG</b>	0/6	0/2
<b>GRULAC</b>	1/6: 16.6%	0/2
<b>WEOG</b>	0/6	2/2: 100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Read as follows: 50% of all the supporting or neutral statements made by India were on States from the African Group.

### **III. STATEMENTS DETAILS**

#### **o By States individually**

The following section indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in India's statements sorted by States.

Appraisals on human rights policies: (7/8) - 87.5%

India made appraisals on 7 States: Bahrain, Tunisia, Morocco, Brazil, the Philippines, the Netherlands, and South Africa.

<sup>1</sup> Total number of States in the regional group on which India made a statement.

Remarks on human rights issues: (7/8) – 87.5%

India made remarks on 7 States: Bahrain, Tunisia, Morocco, Brazil, the Philippines, the Netherlands, and South Africa.

Concerns on human rights issues: (2/8) - 25%

India expressed concerns on 2 States: the United Kingdom and the Netherlands.

Recommendations: (2/8) - 25%

India made recommendations on 2 States: the United Kingdom and the Netherlands.

o **By regional group**

India belongs to the Asian Group.

The following table indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in India's statements within regional groups:

<b>Regional Group</b> <b>Statements</b>	<b>African Group (3)</b>	<b>Asian Group (2)</b>	<b>EASTERN EU (0)</b>	<b>GRULAC (1)</b>	<b>WEOG (2)</b>
<b>Appraisals</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>		1/1: <b>100%</b>	1/2: <b>50%</b>
<b>Remarks</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>		1/1: <b>100%</b>	1/2: <b>50%</b>
<b>Concerns</b>	0/3	0/2		0/1	2/2: <b>100%</b>
<b>Recommendations</b>	0/3	0/2		0/1	2/2: <b>100%</b>

Read as follows: *100% of all the statements made by India on States from the African Group contained appraisals.*

The following table indicates an alternative way to read the data. It shows the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns and recommendations contained in India's statements sorted by regional group:

	<b>Appraisals (7)</b>	<b>Remarks (7)</b>	<b>Concerns (2)</b>	<b>Recommendations (2)</b>
<b>African Group</b>	3/7: <b>42.9%</b>	3/7: <b>42.9%</b>	0/2	0/2
<b>Asian Group</b>	2/7: <b>28.6%</b>	2/7: <b>28.6%</b>	0/2	0/2
<b>EEG</b>	0/7	0/7	0/2	0/2
<b>GRULAC</b>	1/7: <b>14.3%</b>	1/7: <b>14.3%</b>	0/2	0/2
<b>WEOG</b>	1/7: <b>14.3%</b>	1/7: <b>14.3%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Read as follows: *42.9% of all the appraisals made by India were on States from the African Group.*

## ANNEX 1 – LIST OF HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES RAISED

Human Rights Issues	States under Review	Remarks	Concerns	Recommendations
<b>Asylum-seekers/refugees</b>	United Kingdom		X	
<b>Education</b>	South Africa	X		
<b>Freedom of religion and belief</b>	Netherlands		X	
<b>Human rights policies and mechanisms</b>	Brazil	X		
<b>International human rights instruments (including their implementation in national legislations, coop. with Treaty bodies)</b>	Netherlands			X
<b>Migrants</b>	Bahrain	X		
	United Kingdom		X	
	Philippines	X		
<b>Minorities</b>	United Kingdom		X	
<b>National Human Rights Institutions</b>	Bahrain	X		
	Tunisia	X		
	Morocco	X		
	Philippines	X		
<b>National Plan of Action</b>	Brazil	X		
<b>Poverty</b>	Philippines	X		
<b>Prison conditions</b>	Tunisia	X		
<b>Racism/racial discrimination</b>	United Kingdom		X	
<b>Women's rights</b>	Morocco	X		
	United Kingdom		X	X
	Netherlands		X	
<b>Others</b>	Netherlands	X		X

## ANNEX 2 – RECOMMENDATIONS

List of the **3** recommendations submitted by India during the first session and contained in Reports of the Working Group:

- **To the United Kingdom - A/HRC/8/25**

- To set up a strategic oversight body, such as a commission on violence against women, to ensure greater coherence and more effective protection for women

- **To the Netherlands - A/HRC/8/31**

- To continue to engage in a national dialogue with a view to promoting respect for diversity and tolerance and consider establishing an institutional mechanism to ensure respect for diversity and tolerance

- To consider implementing the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women and CEDAW

*Disclaimer: For explanations on the analysis, please read our “Statements Analysis – Methodology” available at [www.upr-info.org](http://www.upr-info.org). For questions and/or comments, please write to [info@upr-info.org](mailto:info@upr-info.org)*