

## Statements Analysis

# CHINA

**SESSION 1: 7 - 18 APRIL 2008**

**States under Review:** Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, the Czech Republic, and Argentina.

### **I. PARTICIPATION:** 15/16

During the first session of the UPR, China took the floor on 15 States under Review (out of 16). The delegation did not take the floor for the review on Morocco.

### **II. STATEMENTS CLASSIFICATION**

#### ○ **By States individually**

Supporting or Neutral Statements: (12/15) – 80%

China made 12 supporting or neutral statements (out of the 15 statements made) for the reviews on Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, the Netherlands, South Africa, and Argentina.

Critical Statements: (3/15) – 20%

China made 3 critical statements (out of the 15 statements made) for the reviews on Indonesia, Poland, and the Czech Republic.

#### ○ **By regional group**

China belongs to the Asian Group.


The following table indicates the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements within regional groups:

Regional Group	African Group (3) <sup>1</sup>	Asian Group (4)	EEG (2)	GRULAC (3)	WEOG (3)
Statements					
Supporting or neutral statements	3/3: 100%	3/4: 75%	0/2	3/3: 100%	3/3: 100%
Critical Statements	0/3	1/4: 25%	2/2: 100%	0/3	0/3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>


Read as follows: 100% of all the statements made by China on States from the African Group were supporting or neutral.

The following graphics indicate an alternative way to read the data. They show the partition of supporting or neutral statements, and critical statements sorted by regional group:

Supporting or neutral statements sorted by regional group



Critical statements sorted by regional group



	Supporting Statements (12)	Critical Statements (3)
African Group	3/12: 25%	0/3
Asian Group	3/12: 25%	1/3: 33.3%
EEG	0/12	2/3: 66.6%
GRULAC	3/12: 25%	0/3
WEOG	3/12: 25%	0/3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Read as follows: 25 % of all the supporting or neutral statements made by China were on States from the African Group.

### III. STATEMENTS DETAILS

#### o By States individually

The following section indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in China's statements sorted by States.

Appraisals on human rights policies: (15/15) - 100%

China made appraisals on 15 States: Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, the Czech Republic, and Argentina.

<sup>1</sup> Total number of States in the regional group on which China made a statement.

Remarks on human rights issues: (14/15) - 93.3%

China made remarks on 14 States: Bahrain, Ecuador, Tunisia, Indonesia, Finland, the United Kingdom, India, Brazil, the Philippines, Algeria, Poland, the Netherlands, South Africa, and Argentina.

Concerns on human rights issues: (3/15) - 20%

China expressed concerns on 3 States: Indonesia, Poland, and the Czech Republic.

Recommendations: (1/15) – 6.7%

China made recommendations on 1 State: the Czech Republic

o **By regional group**

China belongs to the Asian Group.

The following table indicates the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns, and recommendations contained in China's statements within regional groups:

<b>Regional Group</b> <b>Statements</b>	<b>African Group (3)</b>	<b>Asian Group (4)</b>	<b>EASTERN EU (2)</b>	<b>GRULAC (3)</b>	<b>WEOG (3)</b>
<b>Appraisals</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>	4/4: <b>100%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>
<b>Remarks</b>	3/3: <b>75%</b>	4/4: <b>100%</b>	1/2: <b>50%</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>	3/3: <b>100%</b>
<b>Concerns</b>	0/3	1/4: <b>25%</b>	2/2: <b>100%</b>	0/3	0/3
<b>Recommendations</b>	0/3	0/3	1/2: <b>50%</b>	0/3	0/3

Read as follows: *100% of all the statements made by China on States from the African Group contained appraisals.*

The following table indicates an alternative way to read the data. It shows the partition of appraisals, remarks, concerns and recommendations contained in China's statements sorted by regional group:

	<b>Appraisals (15)</b>	<b>Remarks (14)</b>	<b>Concerns (3)</b>	<b>Recommendations (1)</b>
<b>African Group</b>	3/15: <b>20%</b>	3/14: <b>21.4%</b>	0/3	0/1
<b>Asian Group</b>	4/15: <b>26.7%</b>	4/14: <b>28.6%</b>	1/3: <b>33.3%</b>	0/1
<b>EEG</b>	2/15: <b>13.3%</b>	1/14: <b>7.1%</b>	2/3: <b>66.6%</b>	1/1: <b>100%</b>
<b>GRULAC</b>	3/15: <b>20%</b>	3/14: <b>21.4%</b>	0/3	0/1
<b>WEOG</b>	3/15: <b>20%</b>	3/14: <b>21.4%</b>	0/3	0/1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Read as follows: *20% of all the appraisals made by China were on States from the African Group.*

## ANNEX 1 – LIST OF HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES RAISED

Human Rights Issues	States under Review	Remarks	Concerns	Recommendations
<b>Civil society - NGOs</b>	Finland	X		
<b>Development (including solidarity, debt)</b>	Algeria	X		
<b>Economic, social and cultural rights</b>	Czech Republic		X	
<b>Employment</b>	Bahrain	X		
	India	X		
<b>Freedom of opinion and expression</b>	Netherlands	X		
<b>Globalisation</b>	Tunisia	X		
<b>Housing</b>	Brazil	X		
<b>Human rights education and training</b>	Finland	X		
<b>Indigenous peoples</b>	Argentina	X		
<b>International human rights instruments (including their implementation in national legislations, coop. with Treaty bodies)</b>	Czech Republic			X
<b>Minorities</b>	United Kingdom	X		
	Czech Republic		X	X
<b>National Human Rights Institutions</b>	Ecuador	X		
	South Africa	X		
<b>Poverty</b>	Indonesia	X		
<b>Racism/racial discrimination</b>	Poland	X	X	
	South Africa	X		
<b>Trafficking</b>	Philippines	X		
<b>Women's rights</b>	Indonesia		X	
	India	X		
	Argentina	X		

## ANNEX 2 – RECOMMENDATIONS

List of the 2 recommendations submitted by China during the first session and contained in Reports of the Working Group:

- **To the Czech Republic - A/HRC/8/33**

- To take concrete measures to implement ICESCR and carry out a constructive dialogue with the CESCR
- To complete the drafting of the anti-discrimination act as soon as possible to ensure that Roma will not be discriminated in the field of employment, health care, education, housing and the administration of justice

*Disclaimer: For explanations on the analysis, please read our “Statements Analysis – Methodology” available at [www.upr-info.org](http://www.upr-info.org). For questions and/or comments, please write to [info@upr-info.org](mailto:info@upr-info.org)*